

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,702	Applicant(s) MAHER ET AL.	
	Examiner Thuan N. Du	Art Unit 2116	

All Participants:

(1) Thuan N. Du.

(2) Mark A. Dalla Valle, Reg. No. 34,147.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2008

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112

Claims discussed:

23,25,27,44,45

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to amend claims 23, 25, 27 and 35, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, to overcome the lack of antecedent basis. Applicant also clarify that Fig. 6 and its corresponding description in the specification supports the claimed limitation as the advancement of an instruction unrelated to the processing instructions into the second pipeline subcircuit portion is prevented (halted) when the first pipeline subcircuit portion receives a HALT instruction and stops its operation.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

